

**ATTACHMENT 3**  
**PROGRAMMATIC AGREEMENT**

**PROGRAMMATIC AGREEMENT**

**AMONG**

**FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION  
ARIZONA STATE HISTORIC PRESERVATION OFFICE  
ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION  
MARICOPA COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION  
FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT OF MARICOPA COUNTY  
SALT RIVER PROJECT  
BUREAU OF RECLAMATION  
LUKE AIR FORCE BASE  
ARIZONA STATE MUSEUM  
CITY OF GLENDALE  
CITY OF PEORIA  
CITY OF EL MIRAGE  
UNITED STATES ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS  
FORT MCDOWELL YAVAPAI NATION  
GILA RIVER INDIAN COMMUNITY  
AK-CHIN INDIAN COMMUNITY  
SALT RIVER PIMA-MARICOPA INDIAN COMMUNITY  
HOPI TRIBE  
YAVAPAI-APACHE NATION  
SAN CARLOS APACHE NATION  
TOHONO O'ODHAM NATION**

**REGARDING THE NORTHERN PARKWAY PROJECT [STATE ROUTE 303 TO US  
HIGHWAY 60 (GRAND AVENUE)]  
FEDERAL AID NO. STP-MMA-0(034)A  
TRACS NO. 0000 MA MMA SS593 01C  
MARICOPA COUNTY, ARIZONA**

**WHEREAS**, the City of Glendale, the City of Peoria, the City of El Mirage, and the Maricopa County Department of Transportation (MCDOT) (collectively referred to as the proponents) are planning the Northern Parkway Project (Project), a regional "super-street" (defined as a higher-speed, higher-capacity roadway with grade-separated intersections at major cross streets) that would extend approximately 12.5 miles between State Route (SR) 303 and US Highway 60 (Grand Avenue); and

**WHEREAS**, the area of potential effect (APE), for impacts that could potentially affect historic properties, is defined as including the parcels of property adjacent to the proposed alternative routes; and

**WHEREAS**, project construction will occur on lands under the jurisdiction of the City of Glendale, the City of Peoria, the City of El Mirage, and unincorporated Maricopa County; and

**WHEREAS**, the proposed project may have an adverse effect upon historic properties, which are defined as “any prehistoric or historic district, site, building, structure, or object included in, or eligible for inclusion in the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP), including artifacts, records, and material remains related to such property or resource” (National Historic Preservation Act [NHPA] 16 U.S.C. 470w, Title III, Section 301 [5]; and

**WHEREAS**, the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) is providing technical and financial assistance for development of the Project, will assume lead responsibilities for compliance under Section 106 of the NHPA, and has consulted with, and will continue to consult with, the Arizona State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) pursuant to 36 CFR Part 800 of the regulations implementing Section 106 of the NHPA (16 U.S.C. 470f) as revised in August 2004; and

**WHEREAS**, the Arizona Department of Transportation (ADOT), acting as agent for FHWA has participated in consultation and has been invited to be a signatory to this Programmatic Agreement (Agreement); and

**WHEREAS**, SHPO is authorized to enter this Agreement to fulfill the role of advising and assisting federal agencies in carrying out Section 101 and 106 responsibilities under 16 U.S.C. § 470a and 16 U.S.C. § 470f of the NHPA, pursuant to 36 CFR § 800.2(1); and

**WHEREAS**, the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation (Council) has been consulted pursuant to 36 CFR § 800.9, and has been invited to participate in this Agreement; and

**WHEREAS**, MCDOT, will have lead responsibility for final design and construction of the Project, and has been invited to participate in this Agreement; and

**WHEREAS**, the Project will be within rights-of-way held by the City of Glendale, the City of Peoria, and the City of El Mirage, they have been invited to participate in this Agreement; and

**WHEREAS**, a Clean Water Act Section 404 permit is required, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) has been invited to participate in this Agreement; and

**WHEREAS**, portions of the proposed parkway corridors cross undeveloped floodplains of New River and Agua Fria River, the Flood Control District of Maricopa County (FCDMC) has been invited to participate in this Agreement; and

**WHEREAS**, for testing and/or data recovery necessitated by the Project, the Arizona State Museum (ASM) has authority and responsibility for issuing permits and implementing the Arizona Antiquities Act (A.R.S. § 41-841 through § 41-847) on state lands (defined as land owned or controlled by state agencies and institutions, counties, and municipal corporations), and has been invited to participate in this Agreement; and

**WHEREAS**, Luke Air Force Base abuts approximately 2 miles of one of the proposed alternative alignment corridors, and has been invited to participate in this Agreement; and

**WHEREAS**, the Bureau of Reclamation and Salt River Project have been invited to participate in this Agreement to address potential impacts to canals within the proposed parkway corridors; and

**WHEREAS**, any testing and/or data recovery necessitated by the Project, that may be located on Federal land, must be permitted through an Archaeological Resources Protection Act (ARPA) permit and/or an Antiquities Act permit; and

**WHEREAS**, the FHWA has consulted with American Indian tribes that may attach religious or cultural importance to affected properties, including Fort McDowell Yavapai Nation, Gila River Indian Community, Ak-Chin Indian Community, Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community, Hopi Tribe, Yavapai-Apache Nation, San Carlos Apache Nation, and Tohono O'odham Nation, hereafter referred to as the Tribes; and will be invited to participate in consultation [pursuant to 36 CFR § 800.2 (c)(2)(ii)(A-F)], and have been invited to be concurring parties in this Agreement; and

**WHEREAS**, by their signature all parties agree that the regulations specified in the ADOT document, "ADOT Standard Specifications for Road and Bridge Construction" (Section 104.12, 2000) will account for the cultural resources in potential material sources used in project construction; and

**WHEREAS**, an agreement regarding the treatment and disposition of Human Remains, Associated Funerary Objects, Sacred Objects and Objects of Cultural Patrimony would be developed for the Arizona State Museum (ASM) for state and private land, pursuant to A.R.S. § 41-844 and 41-865; and

**WHEREAS**, an agreement regarding the treatment and disposition of Graves and Human Skeletal Material would follow the Archaeological Resources Protection Act of 1979 (ARPA; Section 4.b.3 and 4.c) for federal land; and

**WHEREAS**, Human Remains, Associated/Unassociated Funerary Objects, Sacred Objects and Objects of Cultural Patrimony recovered will be treated in accordance with the Native American Graves and Protection Repatriation Act (NAGPRA) for federal land; and

**NOW, THEREFORE**, all parties agree that upon FHWA's decision to proceed with the Project, FHWA shall ensure that the following stipulations are implemented and take into account the effects of the Project on historic properties, and that these stipulations shall govern the Project and all of its parts until this Agreement expires or is terminated.

## **STIPULATIONS**

The planning and development of the Project is being pursued in several phases-a) evaluation of alternative design concepts and routes, b) phased development of final designs (including geotechnical investigations) for different components of the Project, and c) phased construction of components of the Project estimated to occur between the years 2010 and 2030. The implementation of the following stipulations shall be coordinated with the phases of planning and construction.

FHWA will ensure that the following measures are carried out.

## **I. GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATIONS**

As geotechnical investigation may adversely impact historic properties within the project's corridor, FHWA proposes that historic properties would be avoided by geotechnical investigations wherever possible. In the event that historic properties cannot be avoided, FHWA, in consultation with the consulting parties, shall determine appropriate treatment for the historic properties. Data recovery at geotechnical investigation locations requires a Work Plan, as described below, to be developed. Geotechnical investigations outside the boundaries of historic properties may proceed prior to the completion of any data recovery required at other locations.

## **II. INVENTORY, EVALUATION, AND EFFECT DETERMINATION**

- A. FHWA, represented by ADOT, in consultation with all parties to this Agreement shall ensure that new inventory surveys of additional rights-of-way and temporary construction easements will include determinations of eligibility that are made in accordance with Section 106 for all historic properties, including any added staging or use areas. Should any party to this Agreement disagree with FHWA regarding eligibility, the SHPO shall be consulted and resolution sought within 20 calendar days. If FHWA and SHPO disagree on eligibility, FHWA shall request a formal determination from the Council.
- B. FHWA, represented by ADOT, will ensure that archaeological site areas needing testing, according to 36 CFR § 800.4(b)(2), will be investigated in a manner to evaluate them for eligibility for the NRHP. FHWA will develop a plan of work for Eligibility Testing (Testing Plan) for such areas, for submittal to all parties of the Agreement for review and comment prior to implementation of the Testing Plan. The review and comment of the Testing Plan will be consistent with the time frames specified in Stipulations III (A) and (B) below. The results of the testing will be detailed in an Archaeological Testing Report that will be reviewed and evaluated per Stipulations III (A) and (B) below.
- C. FHWA, represented by ADOT, has consulted with and will continue to consult with the Tribes, to help identify potential properties of religious and cultural significance within any additions to the APE, in any staging or use areas.
- D. FHWA, represented by ADOT, in consultation with SHPO, and other agencies with jurisdiction, shall apply the criteria of Adverse Effect in 36 CFR § 800.5 to all historic properties within the Project APE, including any area proposed for geotechnical testing or staging or use areas.
- E. If FHWA, SHPO, and agencies with jurisdiction over affected land agree (per Stipulations IV A and B) that a portion of the undertaking shall have no effect or no adverse effect on listed or eligible properties, FHWA may provide authorization to proceed with construction in that area, subject to obtaining any necessary permits and the conditions of any Monitoring or Discovery Plan developed for the Project, provided that construction does not preclude options for avoidance of historic properties in other segments.

### III. DEVELOPMENT OF A DATA RECOVERY TREATMENT PLAN

- A. To the extent feasible, FHWA, as represented by ADOT, will avoid adverse effects to historic properties that are identified in the APE through project redesign or implementation of protective measures. Where avoidance is not feasible, FHWA, in consultation with SHPO, and other consulting parties, shall ensure that a data recovery treatment plan (Data Recovery Plan) is developed for the mitigation of anticipated effects on historic properties that will result from the Project and any related uses and activities.
- B. The Data Recovery Plan will be submitted by ADOT, on behalf of FHWA, to all parties of the Agreement for 30 calendar days' review. The Data Recovery Plan shall be consistent with the Secretary of the Interior's Standards and Guidelines for Archaeological Documentation (48 FR 44716-44742) and the Council's Recommended Approach for Consultation on Recovery of Significant Information from Archaeological Sites (64 FR 95:27085-27087). Unless any signatory or concurring party objects to the Data Recovery Plan within 30 calendar days after receipt of the plan, FHWA shall ensure that it is implemented prior to construction.
- C. The Data Recovery Plan shall minimally specify the following:
  1. It will identify the historic properties to be affected by the Project as a whole and the nature of those effects. Identification and description of the traditional and/or religious significance of traditional cultural properties identified with Tribes may be done only with the permission of the appropriate Tribe(s).
  2. A Research Design will contain research questions and goals applicable to the Project area as a whole, which will be addressed through data recovery, along with an explanation of their relevance and importance. These research questions and goals shall reflect the concept of historic contexts as defined in National Register Bulletin 16, and shall take into consideration any such historic contexts established for the Project area.
  3. Field and analysis methods and strategies applicable to the Project area will be developed along with an explanation of their relevance to the research questions.
  4. The methods to be used in analysis, data management, and dissemination of data to the professional community and the public.
  5. A protocol for the treatment of human remains, in the event that such remains are discovered, describing methods and procedures for the recovery, inventory, treatment, and disposition of Human Remains, Associated Funerary Objects, and Objects of Cultural Patrimony. This protocol will reflect concerns and/or conditions identified as a result of consultation among parties to this agreement, and will be consistent with any Burial Agreement developed for this project.
  6. Monitoring procedures will be included to ensure that other potential historic properties are not affected by construction-related activities. These procedures shall specify the location of all identified properties and the means by which they

will be marked and avoided if construction or other ground-disturbing activities are allowed in nearby portions of the APE.

7. A Discovery Plan will be included to ensure adequate treatment of unanticipated discoveries taking into account the provisions of 36 CFR § 800.13 and A.R.S. § 41-844.
8. A proposed schedule for submission of progress, summary, and other reports to parties of this Agreement, as well as a proposed schedule for field work.

#### **IV. COMMENTS ON TREATMENT PLANS FOR TESTING AND DATA RECOVERY**

- A. Upon receipt of draft Treatment Plans acceptable to FHWA, as represented by ADOT, FHWA will then submit such drafts concurrently to all consulting parties to the Agreement for review. All parties will have 30 calendar days from receipt to review and provide comments to FHWA. Lack of comment within the review period may be taken as concurrence with the Treatment Plans.
- B. If revisions to the Treatment Plans are needed, all parties to this Agreement have 20 calendar days from receipt to review and comment on the revisions. If no comments are received within this period, FHWA may assume that the reviewer concurs with the revisions.
- C. Once the Treatment Plans have been determined adequate by all parties, FHWA shall issue authorization to proceed with the implementation, subject to obtaining all necessary permits.

#### **V. REVIEW AND COMMENT ON PRELIMINARY REPORT OF FINDINGS**

Such Preliminary Reports shall minimally contain the following:

1. Within two weeks following completion of fieldwork, the institution, firm, or consultant responsible for the work will prepare and submit a brief Preliminary Report of Findings that will demonstrate that the specifications of the consulted upon data recovery plan have been met.
2. Upon receipt of a draft of the Preliminary Report of Findings, FHWA, represented by ADOT, will review and subsequently submit such documents concurrently to all consulting parties for review. All consulting parties will have 30 calendar days from receipt to review and provide comments to ADOT. Lack of response within this review period will be taken as concurrence with the report.
3. If revisions to the Preliminary Report of Findings are made, all consulting parties have 20 calendar days from receipt to review the revisions and provide comments to ADOT. Lack of response within this review period will be taken as concurrence with the report.

4. Once the Preliminary Report of Findings has been accepted as a final document, FHWA, represented by ADOT, will notify appropriate project participants that construction may proceed.

## **VI. REVIEW AND COMMENT ON DATA RECOVERY REPORT**

1. Within 180 days of completion of data recovery, a report will be prepared incorporating all appropriate data analyses and interpretations, and the report will be submitted to signatories and concurring parties who will be provided with 30 calendar days to review and comment upon the data report.
2. Upon receipt of the data recovery report, FHWA, represented by ADOT, will review and subsequently submit such documents concurrently to all remaining consulting parties for review. All consulting parties will have 30 calendar days from receipt to review and provide comments to ADOT. Lack of response within this review period will be taken as concurrence with the report.
3. If revisions to the data recovery report are made, all consulting parties will have 20 calendar days from receipt to review the revisions and provide comments to ADOT. Lack of response within this review period will be taken as concurrence with the report.
4. Once the data recovery report has been accepted as a final document, FHWA, represented by ADOT, will notify appropriate project participants that construction may proceed.

## **VII. DISCOVERIES**

If potential historic or prehistoric archaeological materials or properties, or human remains are discovered after construction begins, the person in charge of the construction shall require construction to immediately cease with the area of the discovery, take steps to protect the discovery, and promptly report the discovery to the ADOT Historic Preservation Specialist, representing FHWA. The ADOT Historic Preservation Specialist, representing FHWA, shall notify and consult with appropriate agencies.

1. If the discovery, occurring on State or private land, appears to involve human remains or remains as defined in ASM rules implementing A.R.S. § 41-844 and 41-865, the Director of ASM shall be notified. In consultation with the Director, FHWA, represented by ADOT, and the person in charge of construction shall ensure that the discovery is treated according to the burial agreement.
2. If the discovery is located on Federal land and involves graves or human remains as defined in ARPA Section 3.1, the Federal Land Manager shall also be informed. In consultation with FHWA, represented by ADOT, the person in charge of construction shall immediately take steps to secure and maintain preservation of the discovery. FHWA, represented by ADOT, shall ensure that the discovery is treated according to the burial agreement.

3. If remains are not involved, and the discovery is located on state land, FHWA, represented by ADOT, shall notify ASM as required under A.R.S. § 41-844. ADOT, on behalf of FHWA in consultation with the Director and SHPO, if appropriate, shall determine if the Plan previously approved by ASM according to Stipulation II-B is appropriate to the nature of the discovery. If appropriate, the Plan shall be implemented by ADOT, on behalf of FHWA. If the Plan is not appropriate to the discovery, FHWA shall ensure that an alternate plan for the resolution of adverse effect is developed and circulated to the consulting parties, who will have two working days to review and comment upon the alternate plan. FHWA shall consider the resulting comments, and shall implement the alternate plan once a project specific permit has been issued.
4. If remains are not involved and the discovery is located on private land, FHWA, represented by ADOT, shall evaluate the discovery, and SHPO shall be notified as appropriate. The ADOT Historic Preservation Specialist, on behalf of FHWA, shall determine if the plan previously approved according to Stipulation II-B is appropriate to the nature of the discovery. If appropriate, the Plan shall be implemented by ADOT, on behalf of FHWA. If the Plan is not appropriate to the discovery, FHWA shall ensure that an alternate plan for the resolution of adverse effect is developed and circulated to the consulting parties, who will have two working days to review and comment upon the alternate plan. FHWA shall consider the resulting comments, and shall implement the alternate plan once a project specific permit has been issued.
5. If the discovery is located on federal land, FHWA, represented by ADOT, shall determine if the discovery classifies as an "archaeological resource" as defined in Section 3.1 of ARPA, or determine if the discovery classifies as an historical resource or resource with tribal significance, and the Federal Land Manager must then be contacted.

## **VIII. CHANGES IN CONSTRUCTION CORRIDORS AND ANCILLARY AREAS**

Any changes or additions in construction corridors, staging areas, or use areas will be handled in a manner consistent with Stipulations I-IV.

## **IX. STANDARDS FOR MONITORING AND DATA RECOVERY**

All historic preservation work carried out pursuant to this Agreement shall be carried out by, or under the supervision of, a person, or persons, meeting at a minimum the Secretary of the Interior's Professional Qualifications Standards (48 FR 44738-44739).

## **X. CURATION**

All materials and records resulting from the data recovery program conducted within the Project area shall be curated in accordance with either ASM or ARPA.

1. For materials and records located on state or private land, curation shall take place in accordance with standards outlined in A.R.S. § 41-844, and guidelines generated by ASM. The repository for materials either will be ASM or one

located in Maricopa County that meets those standards and guidelines. Materials subject to repatriation under A.R.S. § 41-844 and A.R.S. § 41-865 shall be maintained in accordance with the burial agreement.

2. Archaeological Resources excavated or removed from federal land will be preserved by a suitable university, museum, or other scientific or educational institution (ARPA, Section 4.b.3). Resources having religious or cultural importance shall be maintained in accordance with the burial agreement until any specified analyses, as determined following the consultation with the appropriate Indian tribes and individuals, are complete and the resources are returned.

## **XI. DISPUTE RESOLUTION**

Should any signatory or concurring party to this Agreement object within 30 days to any plan or report provided for review or to any aspect of this undertaking related to historic preservation issues, FHWA shall consult with the objecting party to resolve the objection. If the objection cannot be resolved, FHWA shall request further comments from the SHPO with reference only to the subject of the dispute; FHWA's responsibility to carry out all actions under this Agreement that are not the subject of the dispute will remain unchanged.

## **XII. CONFIDENTIALITY**

The distribution of sensitive information about the locations and nature of inventoried historic properties shall be limited as provided for by Section 304 of the NHPA, Section 9(a) of ARPA, and ARS § 39-125. Pursuant to this stipulation, the participants to this Agreement agree to appropriately control the distribution of any confidential information they may receive as a result of their participation in this Agreement.

## **XIII. AMENDMENT**

This Agreement may be amended by the signatories pursuant to 36 CFR § 800.6 (c)(7). FHWA shall file any amendments with the Council and provide notice to the parties.

## **XIV. TERMINATION**

This Agreement shall be null and void if its terms are not carried out within 10 years from the date of initial project design plans, unless the consulting parties agree in writing to an extension for carrying out its terms. Any consulting party may terminate this Agreement by providing written notice within 30 calendar days to the other parties, provided that the parties will consult during that period to seek agreement on amendments or other actions that would avoid termination. In the event of termination or expiration, FHWA, represented by ADOT, shall either execute a new Agreement under 36 CFR § 800.7(a).

## **XV. FAILURE TO CARRY OUT THE TERMS OF THE AGREEMENT**

In the event that the terms of this Agreement are not accomplished, federal agencies shall comply with 36 CFR § 800.3 through § 800.6 with regard to individual actions covered by this Agreement.

Execution and implementation of this Agreement is evidence that FHWA, represented by ADOT, has afforded the Council an opportunity to comment on the Northern Avenue Parkway project extending 12.5 miles from SR 303 to US Highway 60 (Grand Avenue) in Maricopa County, and its effect on historic properties. In so doing, FHWA, and SHPO have therefore satisfied the Section 106 responsibilities for all individual actions of this undertaking and have taken into account the effects of the undertaking on historic properties. Participation in this Agreement also satisfies the State Historic Preservation Act responsibilities of ADOT for this undertaking pursuant to A.R.S. § 41-864.

**SIGNATORIES**

FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION

By Mary E. Lopez Date 12-12-2008  
Title Environmental Coordinator

ARIZONA STATE HISTORIC PRESERVATION OFFICER

By James Garrison Date 12/23/08  
Title ASHPO

**INVITED SIGNATORIES**

ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

By Shir Anderson Date 12-11-08  
Title Manager

MARICOPA COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

By \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Title \_\_\_\_\_

**CONCURRING PARTIES**

ARIZONA STATE MUSEUM

By       *Beth Brinell*      

Date       *Dec 23, 2008*      

Title \_\_\_\_\_

CITY OF GLENDALE

By \_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_\_

Title \_\_\_\_\_

CITY OF PEORIA

By \_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_\_

Title \_\_\_\_\_

CITY OF EL MIRAGE

By \_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_\_

Title \_\_\_\_\_

FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT OF MARICOPA COUNTY

By \_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_\_

Title \_\_\_\_\_

LUKE AIR FORCE BASE

By \_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_\_

Title \_\_\_\_\_

U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS

By \_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_\_

**CONCURRING PARTIES**

ARIZONA STATE MUSEUM

By \_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_\_

Title \_\_\_\_\_

CITY OF GLENDALE

By \_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_\_

Title \_\_\_\_\_

CITY OF PEORIA

By \_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_\_

Title \_\_\_\_\_

CITY OF EL MIRAGE

By \_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_\_

Title \_\_\_\_\_

FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT OF MARICOPA COUNTY

By \_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_\_

Title \_\_\_\_\_

LUKE AIR FORCE BASE

By \_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_\_

Title \_\_\_\_\_

U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS

By Mark Durham

Date 22 Dec 2007

Title \_\_\_\_\_  
SALT RIVER PROJECT

By \_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_\_

Title \_\_\_\_\_

BUREAU OF RECLAMATION

By \_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_\_

Title \_\_\_\_\_

FORT MCDOWELL YAVAPAI NATION

By Dr. Cynthia M. Patton

Date 1-12-09

Title President

GILA RIVER INDIAN COMMUNITY

By \_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_\_

Title \_\_\_\_\_

AK-CHIN INDIAN COMMUNITY

By \_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_\_

Title \_\_\_\_\_

SALT RIVER PIMA-MARICOPA INDIAN COMMUNITY

By \_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_\_

Title \_\_\_\_\_

HOPI TRIBE

By \_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_\_

Title \_\_\_\_\_

YAVAPAI-APACHE NATION

By \_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_\_

Title \_\_\_\_\_

SAN CARLOS APACHE NATION

By \_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_\_

Title \_\_\_\_\_

TOHONO O'ODHAM NATION

By \_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_\_

Title \_\_\_\_\_